

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/781,729	02/12/2001		Thomas E. Vass	P-4425.003	8003	
24112	7590	12/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
COATS &	BENNET	TT, PLLC		SUBRAMANIAN, N	ARAYANSWAMY	
P O BOX 5						
RALEIGH, NC 27602				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				3624		

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astion Commen	09/781,729	VASS, THOMAS E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Narayanswamy Subramanian	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F	ebruary 2001.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or example.	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	or.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		- - - - - -
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/781,729 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-19, drawn to a method of promoting commerce, classified in class 705, subclass 37.
- II. Claim 20, drawn to a computerized system for tracking regional economic activity, classified in class 705, subclass 37.
- III. Claims 21-25, drawn to a method of generating a technical coefficient matrix for an economy, classified in class 705, subclass 37.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as sub combinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The sub combinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I relates to a method of promoting commerce, whereas invention II relates to a computerized system for tracking regional economic activity. As suggested by the title of these inventions the scope and utility of the two inventions very different from each other. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper, even though they are classified in the same class and subclass.

Inventions I and III are related as sub combinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The sub combinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I relates to a method of promoting commerce, whereas invention III relates to a method of generating a technical coefficient matrix for an

Application/Control Number: 09/781,729

Art Unit: 3624

economy. As suggested by the title of these inventions the scope and utility of the two inventions very different from each other. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper, even though they are classified in the same class and subclass.

Page 3

Inventions III and II are related as sub combinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The sub combinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III relates to a method of generating a technical coefficient matrix for an economy, whereas invention II relates to a computerized system for tracking regional economic activity. As suggested by the title of these inventions the scope and utility of the two inventions very different from each other. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper, even though they are classified in the same class and subclass.

- 3. A telephone call was made to Mr. Larry Coats on December 13, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 4. Applicants are advised that reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Narayanswamy Subramanian whose telephone number is (703) 305-4878. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to

Application/Control Number: 09/781,729

hunlate 12/15/04

Art Unit: 3624

Page 4

7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for Formal or

Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to the Patent Office is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of

a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group

receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

N. Subramanian

December 14, 2004

Jagdish N. Patel

**Primary Examiner**